

## FURTHER SUBMISSION IN SUPPORT OF, OR IN OPPOSITION TO, A SUBMISSION ON THE PROPOSED TE TAI O POUTINI PLAN

## Clause 8 of Schedule 1 Resource Management Act 1991

То:	Te Tai o Poutini Plan Tea	m	
Address:	388 Main South Road, Pa	aroa, Greymouth 7805	
Email:	info@ttpp.nz		
Further Submit	ter Details		
Name of furthe	r submitter: Royal Forest a	nd Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc. (Forest & Bir	d).
Contact person	(if different from above)	Nicky Snoyink	
Postal address:		PO Box 2516, Christchurch 8140	
Email address f	or service:	n.snoyink@forestandbird.org.nz	
Phone number:		03 940 5522	
		ents by email. Where there is no email address provided, the	e
documents will	be posted to the postal add	dress stated above.	
l <mark>am:</mark> (please tid	ck relevant box)		
•	·	aspect of the public interest.	✓
•	• • • •	inds for saying that you come within this category); or he proposal that is greater than the interest of the	
•	al public.	no proposed that is 8. sater than the interest of the	✓
(In this	s case, also explain the grou	unds for saying that you come within this category); or	
c) The lo	cal authority for the releva	nt area.	
Please state the	e grounds as to why you co	me within the category selected above:	
Forest & Bird is	New Zealand's largest non	-governmental conservation organization representing its m	<u>nembers</u>
and supporters	, and made a submission (S	560) on the Proposed Te Tai o Poutini Plan.	
	olease tick relevant box)		
•	be heard in support of you		.n
ii others make	a siiiiilat subtiiissioti Would	you consider presenting a joint case with them at a hearing Yes $\checkmark$ No $\Box$	, <b>:</b>
		163 / 100 🗆	

## **Submission**

The submission points, support or opposition, reasons and decisions sought are set out in the attached document.

Note: Any attachments to your submission should only be supporting information, not the submission.



	Je Z. X. Y				
				17 July 2023	
					• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Signatur	e of the person making fur	ther submission	Date		
or the pe	erson authorised to sign or	n behalf of the			

person making further submission.



Submitter (original submission)	Submission number/point number	Support/Oppose original submission/point	Plan Section/provision	Reasons for support/Oppose	Seek that the decision be allowed/disallowed
West Coast Fish and Game Council	S302	Support	All	The amendments sought give effect to the NPS FM, the RPS and Part 2 of the RMA and the draft NPS IB. Forest & Bird supports the full submission of Fish and Game other than where the relief sought would conflict with that sought in Forest & Birds submission.	Allow
Federated Farmers of New Zealand	S524	Oppose	All	The amendments sought will result in a loss of indigenous biodiversity values which is inconsistent with councils functions and responsibilities under section 31(1)(b)(iii) and Section 6 the RMA and do not give effect to the RPS, NPSFM and the NZCPS.	Disallow
Department of Conservation	S602	Support	All	The amendments sought give effect to the NPS FM, the RPS and Part 2 of the RMA. Forest & Bird supports the full submission of the Director General for Conservation other than where the relief sought would conflict with that sought in Forest & Birds submission.	Allow
West Coast Regional Council	S488	Support	All	The amendments sought give effect to the NPSFM, the RPS and Part 2 of the RMA and the draft NPSIB. Forest & Bird supports the full submission of the Regional Council other than where the relief sought would conflict with that sought in Forest & Birds submission.	Allow
Grey District Council	S608	Oppose	All	The amendments sought will result in a loss of indigenous biodiversity values which is inconsistent with council functions and responsibilities under the RMA section 6 and section 31(1)(b)(iii). The submission does not give effect to the RPS, NPS FM, NPSIB and the NZCPS	Disallow



Newcoast Resources Limited	\$191.001 \$191.002 \$191.003	Oppose	Various	The mineral extraction zone approach is inappropriate. New mineral extraction activities need to be restricted in other zones where other activities and/or outcomes take priority. It is appropriate to amend mineral extraction provisions as set out in Forest & Birds submission	Disallow
Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu. Te Runanga o Ngati Wae Wae, Te Runanga o Makaawhio	S620	Support in part	All	Generally support the submission as some of the relief sought will help to protect and restore wetlands and water bodies, landscapes, the coastal environment, and indigenous biodiversity throughout Te Tai o Poutini/West Coast and help give effect to higher order documents including the NPSFM, NESF, NZCPS, NPSIB and the RMA. However, Forest & Bird is concerned to ensure that appropriate protections for the natural environment remain, while providing for tangata whenua interests. For example, we are interested in understanding the implications of the approach taken in the submission to generally provide for any activity coming under the new definition 'Poutini Ngai Tahu activity'.	Allow the relief sought, except where that conflicts with Forest & Bird's relief sought in its submission, or otherwise removes or lessens protection for natural environment values.
Te Tai o Poutini Plan Committee	S171.001	Support in part	Outstanding Natural Landscape overlays	Forest & Bird agrees that updated information should be used to provide greater certainty as to the extent of Outstanding Natural Landscapes. In our view this should include where new information identifies an increase as well as where it identifies a decrease in land area covered by the Outstanding Natural Landscape overlays.	Allow in part Include additional ONL areas as identified in updated ONL mapping.



Te Tai o Poutini Plan Committee	S171.006	Support in part	Subdivision/SUB - R21	Forest & Bird agree that a stronger activity classification is required to discourage or prevent subdivision where severe hazards are identified. In some areas a prohibited activity status may be appropriate.	Allow
Te Tai o Poutini Plan Committee	\$171.007 \$171.008 \$171.009 \$171.013	Oppose in part	Commercial and Mixed Use Zones,	The submission has not set out the prosed wording for the new objectives. The decision requested refers to direction however the purpose of an objective is to ser outcomes/goals not policy direction. While we generally agree that the addition to include objectives to support zoning proposed in the plan is appropriate to address an omission, new residential zones objectives and policies to support rezoning sounds additional and is uncertain without specific wording being provided. Any direction on rezoning needs to be consistent with provisions for protection of natural values and maintaining indigenous biodiversity.	Allow
Te Tai o Poutini Plan Committee	S171.008	Oppose in part	Residential Zones	As above	As above
Te Tai o Poutini Plan Committee	S171.009	Oppose in part	Residential Zones	As above	As above
Te Tai o Poutini Plan Committee	S171.013	Oppose in part	Residential Zones	As above	As above
Westland District Council	S181	Support	All other than the points below	The submission is generally consistent with protection and maintenance of indigenous biodiversity and is generally consistent with Forest and Birds original submission	Allow
Westland District Council	S181.015	Support	Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Forest and Bird generally supports the Westland District Council's submission in its commitment to undertake the required SNA review. This is consistent with the NPS-IB. The Plan should be	Allow



				explicit about this requirement for all West Coast Councils.	
Westland District Council	S181.061	Oppose	Designations	It is unclear whether the Reserve land is already designated for the Franz Josef Aerodrome. If not then a Notice of Requirement process should be followed.	Disallow
Westland District Council	S181.063	Oppose	Designations	Forest and Bird is unclear how effects of an activity associated with 'improvements' to the Hokitika transfer station would be managed. The effects of any improvements will need to be managed through conditions on the designation or resource consent.	Disallow
Ellis Mining Ltd	S146.001	Oppose	Planning Maps and Overlays	The Mineral Extraction Zones in the Plan are not supported by Forest and Bird.	Disallow
New Zealand Agricultural Aviation Association	S166.003	Oppose	STRATEGIC DIRECTION NENV- O1	S6(a) is not limited to "outstanding" Strategic direction is not necessarily limited to s6 matters. S6(c) is not set out in the context of protection from 'inappropriate subdivision use and Development' Case law considers that what is/maybe 'inappropriate' is to be determined by effects on what is to be protected In any respect such wording is not appropriate to the objective.	Disallow
West Coast Penguin Trust	S275	Support	ECO GRUZ	The submission is generally consistent with protection and maintenance of indigenous biodiversity and is generally consistent with Forest and Birds original submission.	Allow
Skyline Enterprises	S250	Oppose	ONL/ONF NOSZ	The submission is inconsistent with the S6 matters and the protection of outstanding natural landscapes and significant indigenous biodiversity and significant habitats for indigenous fauna.	Disallow
Transpower New Zealand Limited	S299.017	Support in part	SUBSTATION (ZONE)	Forest & bird generally accepts the need for clarification. This may also require consequential	Allow with consequential amendments to address adverse



				amendments to ensure that effects of substations either within the zone provisions or under other provisions can be fully assessed with respect to effects on indigenous biodiversity and ecosystem function.	effects of substations, including those that may be directly connected to the National Grid
Transpower New Zealand Limited	S299.020	Oppose in part	Interpretation Definitions (new definition Minimise)	Agree with Transpower that the wording is "avoid, remedy or mitigate" is preferred to using the word "minimise". However, the alternative of a definition is also uncertain. It is not clear whether the intent of provisions using the term "minimise" is to that adverse effects are minimized with respect to the matter/value adversely affected such that the effect may be acceptable or to the extent that it is feasible for the activity being proposed? What is "reasonably practical" may also need further guidance in the plan to avoid uncertainty if a definition is included.	Disallow the submission
Transpower New Zealand Limited	S299.021	oppose	STRATEGIC DIRECTION Connections and Resilience	Forest & Bird generally agrees that the plan should reconsider and provide for the national and regional significance of the national grid, however the specific wording is not supported. 'Sustainable, secure and efficient' are not the only matters on which provision should be determined.  Appropriate wording in is already set out by ENG-O1.	disallow
Transpower New Zealand Limited	S299.027	oppose	Energy Energy	The submission is contrary to what Forest & Bird seeks with respect to the ECO and other Natural Environment and District Wide chapters. The relief sought is inconsistent with the NZCPS and the RPS.  Clarification may be improved by cross referencing Energy provisions to the ECO, CE and	Disallow .



				other Natural Environment and District Wide chapters	
Transpower New Zealand Limited	S299.028	oppose	Energy Other relevant Te Tai o Poutini Plan provisions	The submission is contrary to what Forest & Bird seeks with respect to the ECO and other Natural Environment and District Wide chapters. The relief sought is inconsistent with the NZCPS and the RPS.	Disallow
Transpower New Zealand Limited	S299.039	oppose	Energy ENG - P7	As set out in Forest & Birds submission S650, the extent to which proposals avoid, remedy, or mitigate effects needs to be in accordance with how effects re to be address on matters set out in the Natural Environment and District Wide chapters. This is particularly important where major upgrades or new national grid infrastructure are proposed, but is also necessary for the effects of operation, maintenance, minor and other upgrades.	Disallow
Transpower New Zealand Limited	S299.040	Oppose	Energy ENG – P8	The submission is contrary to what Forest & Bird seeks with respect to the ECO and other Natural Environment and District Wide chapters.  The amendments are uncertain in achieving the RPS and NZCPS, Particularly RPS policy 7.2 and the NZCPS policies 3, 11, 13 and 15.	Disallow
Transpower New Zealand Limited	S299.040	Oppose	Energy Energy Rules	The submission is contrary to what Forest & Bird seeks with respect to the ECO and other Natural Environment and District Wide chapters.	Disallow with respect to biodiversity provisions and include reference for the need to consider rules in the ECO and other Natural Environment and District Wide chapters.
Transpower New Zealand Limited	S299.051	Support in part	Energy Energy Rules	Agree that a default rule is appropriate to capture energy activities that are not specifically provided for. However, such a rule needs to recognise that non-complying rules are included in the ENG chapter. There may also be rules in other chapters that apply to energy activities and	Allow in part.  If there are any prohibited rule which apply to energy activities then these should also be referred to so that the default discretionary rule is not conflicting



				the rule should be worded so as <i>not</i> to override a more restrictive activity status.	ENG - RXX Any energy activity which is not a permitted, controlled, restricted discretionary or discretionary activity or non-complying activity Activity Status Discretionary Activity status where compliance not achieved: N/A
Transpower New Zealand Limited	S299.059	Oppose in part	Earthworks Earthworks Rules	Forest & Bird is concerned that the amendments sought by Transpower could result in the amendments Forest & Bird seek not being captured with respect to earthworks for Energy activities	Disallow or ensure that amendments sought by Forest & Bird to the EW rules are incorporated into energy activity rules for earthworks.
Transpower New Zealand Limited	S299.060	Oppose in Part	Coastal Environment	Forest & Bird consider it is appropriate for objectives, policies, and rules in the CE chapter to apply to the National Grid. However, agrees that some clarification is needed.	Allow submission to the extent that clarification that the CE chapter applies in addition to the ENG chapter is included in the proposed plan.
Transpower New Zealand Limited	S299.068	Oppose	CE – P8	Forest & Bird seeks the deletion of CE-P8 as set out in its submission. However, agrees that clarification may be helpful so it is clear that both the ENG and CE chapters apply to the National Grid.	Disallow
Transpower New Zealand Limited	S299.069	Oppose	Coastal Environment Rules	Forest & Bird consider it is appropriate for objectives, policies, and rules in the CE chapter to apply in addition to ENG activity provisions, including the National Grid. It is not appropriate to amend the Plan to state that ENG – P8 takes precedence. ENG P8 as proposed specifically recognises there may be cases where an avoid approach is needed to protect values. The amendment sought would make any such consideration on the basis of other policies uncertain and potentially meaningless. All	Disallow



				relevant policy should be available to consider as appropriate to the coastal environment.	
Transpower New Zealand Limited	S299.070	Oppose in Part	CE – R4	Forest & Bird seeks amendments in its submission to restrict activities in Natural Open Space zones and to limit the scale of buildings and earthworks on other zone, including for Energy Activities or Network Utilities that are permitted under ENG, INF, and TRN chapters.	Disallow
Transpower New Zealand Limited	S299.071	Oppose	CE – R22	Forest & Bird considers that the meaning of the rule is clear.	Disallow
Transpower New Zealand Limited	S299.072	Oppose in Part	Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	While Forest & Bird considers that some clarification may be helpful, it considers that some difference in approach may be appropriate. For example, to provide direction on where avoidance is necessary as recognised in ENG- P8. Further it is appropriate for provisions in the ECO chapter to apply to indigenous vegetation beyond that which is significant indigenous vegetation.	Allow submission to the extent that clarification that the ECO chapter applies in addition to the ENG chapter is included in the proposed plan.
Transpower New Zealand Limited	S299.073	support	ECO – P1	The relief sought is consistent with Forest & Bird submission	Allow
Transpower New Zealand Limited	S299.074	Oppose in part	ECO - P6	Forest & Bird consider it is appropriate for objectives, policies, and rules in the ECO chapter to apply to the National Grid. In particular direction of matters where avoidance is required to protect significant values, including to give effect needs to be included in ECO chapter provisions that apply to ENG (and other) activities. ENG – P8 recognises that avoidance may be required to protect some values.	Disallow
Transpower New Zealand Limited	S299.075	Oppose in part	ECO – R1	The amendments sought will result in a loss of indigenous biodiversity values. The relief sought is contrary to Forest & Bird submission relief for Rule ECO- R1	Disallow
Transpower New Zealand Limited	S299.076	Oppose in part	ECO – R2	The amendments sought will result in a loss of indigenous biodiversity values. The relief sought	Disallow



				is contrary to the relief sought in Forest & Bird submissions	
Transpower New Zealand Limited	S299.079	Oppose in part	Natural Features and Landscapes	While Forest & Bird considers that some clarification may be helpful, it considers that some difference in approach may be appropriate. For example, to provide direction on where avoidance is necessary as recognised in ENG- P8.	Allow submission in part to the extent that clarification that the NFL chapter applies in addition to the ENG chapter is included in the proposed plan.
Westpower Limited	S547.069	Oppose in part	Energy	The plan addressed may activities such as vegetation clearance and activities within overlays and the CE which should also apply to energy activities whether the latter is specifically referred to or not	Disallow
Silver Fern Farms Limited by its authorised agents Mitchell Daysh Limited	S441.009	Oppose	NENV-01	Part 2 of the RMA s6 recognises and provides for matters of national importance including the protection of outstanding natural features and landscapes. This is stronger direction than "has regard to".	Disallow
Silver Fern Farms Limited by its authorised agents Mitchell Daysh Limited	S441.016	Oppose in part	ECO-P2	In this context, functional need and operational need are not particularly restrictive but the inclusion of "operational" as proposed by the submitter could conflict with the protection of indigenous biodiversity under s6(c), the NZCPS, NPSIB or the RPS. For this reason we consider that only functional need should be included and limited to the National Grid and some regionally significant infrastructure (as defined in the RPS) within the coastal environment. Beyond the coastal environment both terms could be used but must be limited to regionally significant infrastructure.	Disallow
Silver Fern Farms Limited by its authorised agents Mitchell Daysh Limited	S441.022 to 25	Oppose	CE-O3 CE-P5 CE-P6 CE-R4	As above for ECO-P2 and for consistency with the NZCPS, operational need should not be included.	Disallow



KiwiRail Holdings Limited	S442	Support	INF-O2	The submission is generally consistent with protection and maintenance of indigenous biodiversity and is generally consistent with Forest and Birds original submission.	Allow
KiwiRail Holdings Limited	S442.022	Support	INF-O4	The submission is generally consistent with protection and maintenance of indigenous biodiversity and is generally consistent with Forest and Birds original submission.	Allow
Manawa Energy	S438	Support in part	All	In addition to specific submission points Forest & Bird has supported or opposed, Forest & Bird generally supports other aspects of the submission which are generally consistent with the protection and maintenance of indigenous biodiversity. In particular regarding identification of SNAs, giving effect to the RPS, NPSIB and protecting outstanding natural landscapes and features.  Forest & Bird supports the submission other than where the relief sought would conflict with that sought in Forest & Birds submission	Allow
Manawa Energy	S148.00144	Oppose	ENG-P1	The submission appears to conflate new and existing renewable electricity regeneration. We recommend separating the existing and new, so that new REG activities are assessed on a caseby-case basis and subject to the effects management hierarchy.	Disallow
Manawa Energy	S438.001	Support	Definitions	Agree minimise is subjective. Replace 'minimise' with avoid, remedy, or mitigate, throughout the plan.	Allow
Manawa Energy	\$438.003	Support	Definitions CRITICAL INFRASTRUCTURE	For clarity Forest & Bird support the clarification of definitions consistent with National Direction and the RPS for infrastructure as it relates to renewable energy.	Allow
Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	S450.082	Oppose	Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Identification of values is necessary to assess the effects of activities. Determining whether this includes significant values is necessary to provide	Disallow



			ECO - P1	for protection under s6(c). Given that the councils have not thoroughly identified SNA's in their plans more work is required at the consenting level.	
Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	S450.083	oppose	Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity ECO - P2	Forest & Bird considers the wording of this policy being to "allow" problematic as there is no consideration of whether activities are appropriate to achieving protection. Forest & Bird has sought to delete, replace, or amend ECO-P2 to limit consideration of activities to those for maintenance, repair, or operation of lawfully established structure.  On that basis the wording sought is not appropriate.  While forest & Bird is not opposed to the consideration of both functional and operational needs for critical infrastructure we consider this is captured by amendments to ECO -P7	Disallow
Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	S450.086	Oppose	Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity ECO – P10	The requirement to avoid is appropriate to give effect to the NZCPS and the RPS.	Disallow
Buller Electricity Limited	S451	Oppose	Energy, Infrastructure and Transport	The proposed amendments are too enabling of new renewable electricity. The amendments sought to not protect and maintain indigenous biodiversity. New REG needs to be subject to the effects mitigation hierarchy.	Disallow
New Zealand Energy Limited	S463	Oppose	Energy, Infrastructure and Transport	The proposed amendments are too enabling of development of new renewable electricity. New Renewable Electricity Generation(REG) needs to be subject to the effects mitigation hierarchy.	Disallow



				Special purpose zones for existing REG is not supported.	
New Zealand Coal & Carbon Limited	S472	Oppose	All	The Mineral Extraction Zones in the Plan are not supported	Disallow
Rocky Mining Limited	S474	Oppose	All	The Mineral Extraction Zones are not supported by Forest & Bird.	Disallow
Development West Coast	S484	Oppose	All	The RMA is about use development <u>and</u> <u>protection</u> of natural resources, the submission talks only of use and development.	Disallow
Horticulture NZ	S486	Oppose in part	All	Where the submission is not consistent with the RMA s6, NZCPS, MPSFM and NPSIB, and RPS.	Disallow
Horticulture NZ	S486.013 S486.015 S486.017	Support	Energy Overview ENG-O3 ENG-P3	The submission is consistent with national direction	Allow
Horticulture NZ	S486.030	Oppose in part	ECO-R1	Needs clarification to avoid unintended consequence for indigenous biodiversity. Regarding the proposed amendment to clause 5, the "no site size" limitation is not supported.	Allow in part
Bathurst Resources Limited and BT Mining Limited	S491	Oppose	All	The Mineral Extraction Zones are not supported. The amendments sought will result in a loss of indigenous biodiversity values which is inconsistent with councils functions and responsibilities under section 31(1)(b)(iii) and Section 6 the RMA, and do not give effect to the RPS, NPSFM and the NZCPS.	Disallow
TiGa Minerals and Metals Limited	S493	Oppose	All	Submission is not consistent with the RMA, NPSIB, NPSFM, RPS or the NZCPS. The Mineral extraction zones are not supported.	Disallow
Papahaua Resources Limited	S500	Oppose	All	Submission is not consistent with the RMA, NPSIB, NPSFM, RPS or the NZCPS. Mineral extraction zones are not supported.	Disallow
Stevenson Mining Limited	S502	Oppose	All	Submission is not consistent with the RMA, NPSIB, NPSFM or the RPS. Mineral extraction zones are not supported.	Disallow
Aggregate and Quarry Association	S521	Oppose	All	Suggested amendments and interpretation of the effects management hierarchy risks loss of	Disallow



				indigenous biodiversity. This is not consistent with the RMA, NPSIB, NPSFM, NZCPS and the RPS.	
Queenstown Lakes District Council	S523	Support	Open Space and Natural Open Space Zones - Cross Boundary Matters	The plan needs to provide more clarity around the appropriateness of mining in the OSZ and NOSZ and the cross-boundary effects.	Allow
Straterra	S536	Oppose	All	Mineral Extraction Zones are not supported. The amendments sought will result in a loss of indigenous biodiversity values which is inconsistent with councils functions and responsibilities under section 31(1)(b)(iii) and Section 6 the RMA, and do not give effect to the RPS, NPSFM and the NZCPS.	Disallow
Terra Firma Mining Limited	S537	Oppose	All	Mineral Extraction Zones are not supported. The amendments sought will result in a loss of indigenous biodiversity values which is inconsistent with councils functions and responsibilities under section 31(1)(b)(iii) and Section 6 the RMA, and do not give effect to the RPS, NPSFM and the NZCPS	Disallow
Buller District Council	\$538.010	Support	DEFINITION CRITICAL INFRASTRUCTURE	The submission to include the Ports of Westport, Greymouth, and Jackson Bay as Critical Infrastructure or Regionally Significant Infrastructure is consistent with the RPS definition.	Allow
Buller District Council	S538.203	Oppose	ECO-P7	The submission point relates to the functional need of critical infrastructure (or Regionally Significant Infrastructure) however we note that the definition does not include mining.	Disallow
Buller District Council	S538.208	Oppose	Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	The new proposed permitted activity rule for vegetation clearance outside of an SNA is not supported. The RMA requires the Council to protect significant natural areas and maintain	Disallow



				other indigenous biodiversity. This will result in further loss of indigenous biodiversity.	
Buller District Council	S538.209	Oppose	ECO-R2	As above. In addition this would not be consistent with the NZCPS.	Disallow
Westpower Limited	S547	Oppose	All	Forest & Bird recognises the national importance of and supports the need for Renewable Electricity Generation. The Society acknowledges that the West Coast already has some existing REG schemes and the maintenance if these needs to be provided for. However, Forest & Bird recommends that new REG activities are assessed on a case-by-case basis and subject to the effects management hierarchy. In particular Forest and Bird opposes the submitters request to strike out reference to the overlay chapters, particularly the Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity; Landscape and Natural Features; and Natural Character and Margins of Water Bodies; and the removal of their application to the Energy Chapter.	Disallow
Buller Conservation Group	S552	Support	All	Support where the submission is consistent with Forest & Bird's submission	Allow
Minerals West Coast	S569	Oppose	All	The submission is not consistent with the RMA s6 matters of national importance.	Disallow
Minerals West Coast	\$569.005	Oppose	Whole Plan	The submission will result in loss of significant indigenous biodiversity and there are limits to offsetting.	Disallow
WMS Group (HQ) Limited and WMS Land Co. Limited	S599	Oppose	All	Submission is not consistent with the RMA, NZCPS, NPSIB or the RPS and will result in loss of indigenous biodiversity	Disallow
Birchfield Coal Mines Ltd	S601	Oppose	All	Submission is not consistent with the RMA, NZCPS, NPSIB or the RPS and will result in loss of indigenous biodiversity	Disallow
Birchfield Ross Mining Limited	S604	Oppose	All	Submission is not consistent with the RMA, NZCPS, NPSIB or the RPS and will result in loss of indigenous biodiversity	Disallow



Phoenix Minerals Limited	S606	Oppose	All	Submission is not consistent with the RMA,	Disallow
				NZCPS, NPSIB or the RPS and will result in loss of	
				indigenous biodiversity	